Tape 21 Side A, 0- 2

1.9 JUL 1979

25X1

乭

MEMORANDUM FOR:	
FROM:	DCI

SUBJECT:

Georgie Anne Geyer Article

1. After my marked up version of paragraph 2, I suggest that we try to prove the points you make in the first sentence of paragraph 2. The first one is that our society cannot be made more open by FOIA. Perhaps we could take paragraph 3 and say something like, rather than opening our society, the Freedom of Information Act with respect to intelligence often leaves the citizen frustration or disappointment. This is because despite our most sincere efforts to look up to the spirit as well as the letter of the law, we simply are unable to satisfy most requests for information. This is not at all an unwillingness to do so, but a requirement to comply with other laws which require the protection of our nation's secrets. The most important of those secrets concern how we gain access to intelligence information necessary for the conduct of our nation's foreign policy. Clearly if through the Freedom of Information Act we release data on/technical techniques which we employ or the human beings who supply us information, we will find neither type of source likely to be available to us much longer. Clearly the newspaper writers of our country understand this. Witness the number of reporters who have been willing to go to jail rather than to release information about their sources of information.

Approved For Release 2005/01/13: CIA-RDP80B01554R003300240028-4

Approved For Release 2005/01/13: CIA-RDP80B01554B003300240028-4

2. The next paragraph could pick up the point about making the
government more responsive and possibly build on the first sentence
of the second page.
Instead, we can try to make a
case here that because of their inherent requirement for secrecy intelf
ligence/agencies it is neither desirable nor effective to make intel-
ligence agencies responsive to the people as a whole. We have made
tremendous progress in the past four years in making intelligence
responsive to the people through their elected and appointed government
officials. We could then give a little sermon on oversight and accounta-
bility.
3. We could then turn to whether FOIA makes our nation stronger and assert
Our search looks in the second paragraph on page 2 that in fact FOIA
hasha disadvantage of creating an erroneous perception that secrets
cannot be held. Thus, quite separate from the facts of the case, we
are likely to lose sources of information simply because of this
perception. We could pick it up then with allied intelligence agencies.
4. I'm afraid I'm not enamored of the last paragraph on page 2
and page 3 with the detailed descriptions of the Privacy Act and the

Approved For Release 2005/01/13 : CIA-RDP80B01554R003300240028-4

Executive Order. It doesn't seem to me these are of great interest

have occasion to go get things from Presidential libraries. I think

here we could turn instead to some examples of the absurd position in

to the average reader, not many of whom worry about that difference or

Approved For Release 2005/01/13: CIA-RDP80B01554R003300240028-4

which the Freedom of Information type Acts place us. One is what you state at the very bottom of page 2 that any U.S. citizen can cast a wide net to find information about himself. Another would be that we're required, I believe, to answer request from anyone, not just U.S. citizens. We have actually had a request (I believe) from the Polish Embassy.

- 5. We certainly can use the material on page 4 about Agee and others. I wonder if we can't hit them a little harder. Agee has avowed to try to destroy the Central Intelligence Agency, which is an established arm of the government authorized by the Congress and supported by the people, and yet the people have to pay for five and one-half manyears of effort to help him undo the Constituted organ of the government.

 I would then also question whether we should spend the taxpayers' money to help high school civics teachers teach their students.
- 6. Some of the last paragraph fits in where I mentioned before that we should discuss oversight and accountability. I think, however, we can be a little more elementary and expansive with respect to things like the oversight committees. The average person who reads this won't know much about that.
- 7. I wonder if we then should not end up by stating that I support the concept of the Act but believe its scope should be narrowed so as not to cost the taxpayers so much for so little return to the public, and so as not to generate threat to our security of the perception of an inability of the country to keep secrets. We could even say something about the proposed legislation if that's within the ground rules.
- 8. Overall, I'm impressed by the reactions to the "Prime Time" show. The public was indignant when they saw how ludicrous it was to Approved For Release 2005/01/13: CIA-RDP80B01554R003300240028-4

Approved For Release 2005/01/13: CIA-RDP80B01554R003300240028-4

tolerate people like the <u>Covert Action</u> crowd and think we could get to them here even more when we can say that the public is paying for people like that to operate.

ST